

Blockchains & DLTs: Too complex for quick wins?

Florian Matthes

sebis

Keynote, ICE-B 2021, July 8 2021

Chair of Software Engineering for Business Information Systems (sebis) Faculty of Informatics Technische Universität München wwwmatthes.in.tum.de

- 1. Potential of Blockchain-based solutions
- 2. Characteristics of permissioned and permissionless Blockchain-based platforms
- 3. Getting beyond the technical proof of concept
 - SWOT of permissionless Blockchain solutions
 - SWOT of permissioned Blockchain solutions

What is a "Blockchain"?

Technical definition

"A blockchain [...] is a **distributed database** that maintains a continuouslygrowing list of ordered records called blocks. Each block contains a timestamp and a link to a previous block. **By design** blockchains are **inherently resistant to modification** of the data: once recorded, the data in a block cannot be altered retroactively."

<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Blockchain_(database)</u>

Functional description

[...] are **systems** that enable parties who don't fully trust each other to **form and maintain consensus** about the **existence, status and evolution** of a set of shared facts.

Richard Brown, R3 CTO

The potential of blockchain technologies





They enable **intermediary-free** transactions of **digital**, **non-copyable goods** without the need to trust the other party.



Digital identities of people or **machines** can enter into secure transactions and all transaction details are stored **immutable** and **decentralized**.



Automated, programmable contracts can ensure contract compliance.

"We believe that the Blockchain will have the greatest influence on contracts, logistics and supply chain, healthcare, public administration, asset clearing, property and transactions."



- Greg LaBlanc

Current and future use cases (B2B & B2C)

Industries

	Finance	 Crypto currencies Microcredit and crowdfunding peer-to-peer ICOs: Start-up financing Replacement of intermediaries in transactions 	Pharma und Medical	 Access to decentralized patient records Prevention of prescription abuse Prevention of counterfeit drugs 				
	Automotive	 Supply chain tracking Digital identity of a car Digital mobility solutions (e.g. car sharing) 	Energy	 Private suppliers of electricity Micro power networks Electricity trading 				
Cross-industry, peer to peer								
		Elimination of some notary services		Preservation of patents, art or ideas on the second s				

Documentation

- Traceable supply chain •
- Service & maintenance protocols
- Digital certificates of origin
- Digital Identity
- Refugees pay by retina scan ٠
- Education & training certificates ٠
- Self-sovereign identities •

- **IP Management**

Sharing

Economy

- n the blockchain (incl. time stamp)
 - Securing 3D printer models •
 - Direct remuneration of license holders
 - Transactions without a central platform provider •
 - Decentralized documented bartering •
 - Pay-as-you-use insurances •

TradeLens: Transforming global container logistics





https://merehead.com/blog/maersk-blockchain-use-case/

Applying design thinking to blockchain-based solutions





7



- 1. Potential of Blockchain-based solutions
- 2. Characteristics of permissioned and permissionless Blockchain-based platforms
- 3. Getting beyond the technical proof of concept
 - SWOT of permissionless Blockchain solutions
 - SWOT of permissioned Blockchain solutions

The structure of the Bitcoin blockchain



210708 Matthes Blockchains & DLTs: Too complex for quick wins?

Roles in the network

Wallet Owner

- Has private key to unspent transactions
- Owns the money
- Sends money by singing and publishing new transactions

Full Node

- Maintains the complete blockchain
- Validates every transaction
 and block
- Relays all new transactions

Miner

- Acts the same as the full node
- Additionally creates new blocks and tries to solve the mining puzzle
- Gets rewarded for new blocks



Reaching consensus in the network





Which node is allowed to issue new blocks?



Constraints

- Keep the network fully decentralized → Random selection of node
- Avoid a 51% attack by a group of nodes allowing them to
 - prevent new transactions from gaining confirmations, to halt payments between some or all users, or
 - reverse transactions that were completed while they were in control of the network, meaning they could doublespend coins.

Approaches

- Proof of work → Increase cost / difficulty of creating new blocks
 - Solve a time-consuming mathematical puzzle
 - Solve a puzzle that requires a lot of computer memory
- Proof of stake → Increase cost of creating invalid blocks
 - Deposit an amount of money that gets transferred if an invalid block is detected



Historical development of the concept of Smart Contracts

- ПШ
- The term "Smart Contract" was coined long before blockchain technology emerged (Szabo, 1994).
- Initially described the formalization of processes in public networks like the Internet.
- Possible applications:
 - DRM (Digital Rights Management)
 - Payment
 - Connection to the "real world" through sensors and actuators
- → However, there was a lack of technology to realize these ideas at that time.

Smart Contracts on blockchain-based platforms



In 2015, Vitalik Buterin revived the idea in his white paper "*Ethereum: A Next Generation Smart Contract & Decentralized Application Platform*"

Idea

- Replace the fixed data structures, algorithms and protocols of individual blockchain solutions (e.g. Bitcoin, voting, bidding, lottery, proof of ownership, ...) by programs, written in a domain-specific programming language (e.g. Solidity) on top of a single public blockchain (Ethereum) and currency (Ether).
- Use cryptography to secure the immutability of the program code (contract)
- Wallet owners agree on the contract(s) to be used for their future interactions
- No or very limited access of the code to the "real world" (sensors, actuators)

→ Similar to data-centric architectures for workflow management and automated case management

A reference architecture for a Smart Contract platform



Implementations of the reference architecture





Who can take which role in a blockchain network?



	User	Node (Reader)	Miner (Writer)	Examples
Permissionless	 Everybody Pseudonymous users / wallets 	 Everybody <u>All</u> transactions are public 	 Everybody Mining hardware Mining pools Mining rewards 	BitcoinEthereum
Permissioned	 Managed (by consortium) KYC, AML, 	 Consortium members Transactions are visible to (a subset of) the consortium 	 Consortium members Notary / ordering services 	 Corda Hyperledger



- 1. Potential of Blockchain-based solutions
- 2. Characteristics of permissioned and permissionless Blockchain-based platforms
- 3. Getting beyond the technical proof of concept
 - SWOT of permissionless Blockchain solutions
 - SWOT of permissioned Blockchain solutions

Permissionless Blockchains have a reputation problem



- Incredible waste of energy and resources due to proof of work
- Use for shady business models
 - Speculative trading
 - Gambling
 - Trafficking (humans, drugs, ...)
 - Cyber crime
 - Ransomware attacks

• ...

- Casino economy
 - ICO scams
 - Exchange frauds
 - "Lost" or "locked" crypto money
 - ...
- Huge gap between expectations and maturity of the technology

Permissionless Blockchains continue to fuel business innovations

What are NFTs and why are some worth millions?

🕑 12 March

<



A digital-only artwork has sold at Christie's auction house for an eyewatering \$69m (£50m) - but the winning bidder will not receive a sculpture, painting or even a print. πп

Permissionless Blockchain-based platforms

Strengths

- Fault-tolerant and resilient infrastructure
- Fully decentralized
- Utility model
- Open source
- Innovation-friendly (soft and hard forking)
- Domain-specific languages
- Expressive data model / type system
- Rich developer tool support
- Comparatively large developer community

Weaknesses

- No data privacy (on-chain)
- Energy consumption
- Extremely limited transaction throughput
- High transaction latency
- "Tiny data" solutions only
- Dependency on a crypto currency
- Greenfield approach ignoring enterprise IT (languages, libraries, tools, operating models)

Opportunities

- Web 3.0 leverages Web 2.0 technology stack
- Low entry barrier for application provider
- Financial incentives for growth and innovation
- De-facto protocol standards for DeFi
- Ecosystem of complementing innovations (SSI, ZKP, IPFS, Ocean Protocol, Trusted Computing, ...)

Threats

- High regulatory risks
- Untested planetary scalability
- Centralization at the network level
- Centralization at the application level
- Centralization at the "gates" (e.g. exchanges, oracles, ...)

Permissionless Blockchain-based platforms

ТШ

Currently suitable only for a very specific set of **business scenarios**:

- Cryptocurrencies and their derivatives
- Public registers and logs (of potentially encrypted or hashed data)
- Token economy

Vibrant innovation ecosystem

- Open source communities
- Academic researchers
- Startups
- Venture capitalists

This may lead to disruptive new use cases with a rapid global adoption.



- 1. Potential of Blockchain-based solutions
- 2. Characteristics of permissioned and permissionless Blockchain-based platforms
- 3. Getting beyond the technical proof of concept
 - SWOT of permissionless Blockchain solutions
 - SWOT of permissioned Blockchain solutions

Interdisciplinary collaboration is required



How to create a sustainable business?



Decision model by the World Economic Forum



Source: https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2018/04/questionsblockchain-toolkit-right-for-business

Hyperledger Fabric: Channels



Blockchains are a team sport



The parties in the ecosystem interact via shared executable contracts on shared blockchains.

Banking: R3 Consortium (2014)

200+ members

Energy: Energy Web Foundation (1/2018)

Insurance: B3i Consortium (3/2018)

 Achmea, Aegon, Ageas, Allianz, Generali, Hanover Re, Liberty Mutual, Munich Re, SCOR, Swiss Re, Tokio Marine, XL Catlin, Zurich Insurance Group

Mobility: Mobi Open Blockchain Initiative (5/2018)

BMW, General Motors, Renault, Ford, Bosch, ZF, Hyundai, ...



Permissioned Blockchain-based platforms

Strengths

- Privacy (data and transactions)
- Authentication and authorization
- Scalability
- Familiar programming languages
- Familiar development environments and tools
- Established IT service providers
- Professional consulting and training services
- Interoperability with standard enterprise IT

Weaknesses

- Low-level data models
- Cumbersome process modelling
- Complex distributed software deployment
- Low maturity of development tools

Opportunities

- Regulatory compliance
- Heavy EU funding
- Domain-specific architectures and abstractions (data marketplaces, IoT, public administration)
- Blockchain as a (cloud) service
- National blockchains

Threats

- Unfamiliar organizational structure (cooperative, association, ...)
- Complex consortium contract negotiations
- Complex governance (boards, voting rights, on boarding, off boarding, ...)
- Limited availability & cost of IT experts
- Market fragmentation

Permissioned Blockchain-based platforms



 First wave of technology-driven innovation projects did not reach a critical mass of consortium members and users or switched to a central database solution.

Recommendations

- Focus on business cases for which no digital solution exists today
- Start with the right size and mix of the consortium
- Involve legal experts early on

Innovation ecosystem

- IBM, SAP, Microsoft, …
- Startups with a B2B focus
- Business consortia
- Industry researchers

TLM sebiš

Prof. Dr. Florian Matthes

Technische Universität München Faculty of Informatics Chair of Software Engineering for Business Information Systems

Boltzmannstraße 3 85748 Garching bei München

Tel +49.89.289.17132 Fax +49.89.289.17136

matthes@in.tum.de wwwmatthes.in.tum.de



Abstract

Blockchains and Distributed Ledger Technologies (DLTs) enable intermediary-free transaction for digital, non-copyable goods without the need to trust the other party. People, organizations and machines can enter into secure transactions and all transaction details are stored immutable and decentralized. Automated, programmable contracts can ensure contract compliance.

Despite these compelling and disruptive value propositions, the adoption of public and consortium-based blockchain-based system solution outside the narrow scope of crypto finance is limited.

In this talk, we identify the technical, organizational, legal and governance challenges business blockchain initiatives have to address to reach a maturity beyond a successful technical proof of concept.

We hope to also provide guidance how to resolve some of these challenges.

60 min. incl. Q&A